Optimization algorithms for physical layer in 5G and beyond wireless networks

Fabio Saggese

Dipartimento di Ingegneria dell'Informazione

Riunione Annuale GTTI - 5 Settembre 2022



UNIVERSITÀ DI PISA

OUTLINE

RESOURCE ALLOCATION IN PRESENCE OF INTERFERENCE Spectrum and power allocation for NOMA-FD systems A quasi-optimal clustering algorithm for MIMO-NOMA downlink systems

2 RADIO ACCESS NETWORK SLICING Power Minimization of Downlink Spectrum Slicing for eMBB and URLLC

RESOURCE ALLOCATION IN PRESENCE OF INTERFERENCE



Non-orthogonal access methods

Pros

- $\circ~$ higher degrees of freedom
- $\circ~$ possibly better performance

Cons

- Interference must be managed
- Increased receiver and signal processing complexity



NOMA-FD SYSTEM



- *Full-Duplex* enables the simultaneous transmission and reception of different signals onto the same frequency band (needs specific hardware)
- *Power-domain NOMA* allocates more than one user of the same direction to the same resource (needs specific signal processing)

FULL-DUPLEX

The BS has with hardware able to cancel most of the self-interference.

NOMA

Data signal associated to the strong user is decoded without interference from the weak data.



 $_{6/23}$ The weak transmission rate must be achievable on the strong receiver.

OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM

$$\max_{\mathbf{P} \succeq 0, \boldsymbol{\rho} \in \mathcal{X}} u\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \mathbf{P}\right) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{A}(f)} \left(\rho_{i,s}(f) + \rho_{i,w}(f)\right) \alpha_i r_i(f)$$

subject to

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left(\rho_{i,s}(f) + \rho_{i,w}(f) \right) P_i(f) \le P_U, \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{U}$$

 $\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{D}} \left(\rho_{i,s}(f) + \rho_{i,w}(f) \right) P_i(f) \le P_D$

$$\Upsilon_{k',k}(f) \ge 0, \frac{\forall k', k \in \mathcal{D}}{\forall f \in \mathcal{F}} \Big| \frac{\rho_{k',w}(f) = \rho_{k,s}(f) = 1}{P_{k'}(f) > 0, P_k(f) > 0}.$$

STRATEGY

Split the problem into strong and weak allocations:

- solve strong allocation keeping weak fixed
- Solve weak allocation keeping strong fixed

3 iterate...

OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM

$$\max_{\mathbf{P} \succeq 0, \boldsymbol{\rho} \in \mathcal{X}} u\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \mathbf{P}\right) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{A}(f)} \left(\rho_{i,s}(f) + \rho_{i,w}(f)\right) \alpha_i r_i(f)$$

subject to

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left(\rho_{i,s}(f) + \rho_{i,w}(f) \right) P_i(f) \le P_U, \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{U}$$

 $\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{D}} \left(\rho_{i,s}(f) + \rho_{i,w}(f) \right) P_i(f) \le P_D$

$$\Upsilon_{k',k}(f) \ge 0, \frac{\forall k', k \in \mathcal{D}}{\forall f \in \mathcal{F}} \Big| \frac{\rho_{k',w}(f) = \rho_{k,s}(f) = 1}{P_{k'}(f) > 0, P_k(f) > 0}.$$

STRATEGY

Split the problem into strong and weak allocations:

- solve strong allocation keeping weak fixed
- solve weak allocation keeping strong fixed
- 3 iterate...

BLOCK COORDINATED DESCENT

$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\rho}_{s}^{(\ell)}, \mathbf{P}_{s}^{(\ell)} = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}\in\mathcal{X}_{s}^{(\ell)}, \mathbf{P}\succeq 0} \left\{ u\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \mathbf{P}; \boldsymbol{\rho}_{w}^{(\ell-1)}, \mathbf{P}_{w}^{(\ell-1)}\right) - K^{(\ell)} ||\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{P}_{s}^{(\ell-1)}||^{2} \right\}, \\ \boldsymbol{\rho}_{w}^{(\ell)}, \mathbf{P}_{w}^{(\ell)} = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}\in\mathcal{X}_{w}^{(\ell)}, \mathbf{P}\succeq 0} \left\{ u\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{s}^{(\ell)}, \mathbf{P}_{s}^{(\ell)}; \boldsymbol{\rho}, \mathbf{P}\right) - K^{(\ell)} ||\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{P}_{w}^{(\ell-1)}||^{2} \right\}, \\ \operatorname{subject to power budget and SIC requirement} \end{cases}$$



BCD-LOW COMPLEXITY (BLC)

BCD complexity depends on the (unknown) number of iterations needed for convergence.

To speed up the convergence, we employ a heuristic strategy

- **1** Perform Strong allocation
- **2** Perform Weak allocation
- **3** Redistribute power in an optimal way $\max_{\mathbf{P}_U, \mathbf{P}_D} u(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \mathbf{P}_U, \mathbf{P}_D)$

Decoupling for each frequency and for uplink and downlink can be beneficial

NUMERICAL RESULTS



Saggese

MIMO-NOMA SYSTEM

Exploit space and power domain at the same time may achieve even better results.

Aiming to minimum power

- MIMO to spatially divide the users
- NOMA is performed in each cluster

STRATEGY

- BS precoder to nullify the inter-cluster interference
 - \rightarrow SVD of the stack channel matrix.
- ② optimal beamformer and power to meet the rate constraints \rightarrow SVD + waterfilling
- **3** C: Minimum power clusterization selection
 - \rightarrow bi-partite matching MILP problem



MIMO-NOMA SYSTEM

Exploit space and power domain at the same time may achieve even better results.

Aiming to minimum power

- MIMO to spatially divide the users
- NOMA is performed in each cluster

STRATEGY

- **1** BS precoder to nullify the inter-cluster interference
 - $\rightarrow\,$ SVD of the stack channel matrix.
- 2 optimal beamformer and power to meet the rate constraints
 - \rightarrow SVD + waterfilling
- **3** \mathcal{C} : Minimum power clusterization selection
 - $\rightarrow\,$ bi-partite matching MILP problem



NUMERICAL RESULTS



 $\eta_i \; [\text{bit/Hz/s}]$

SUMMARY

- $\circ~$ High interference translates into high non-convex problem
- we decompose it into simpler sub-problems
- proposed methods reach outstanding performance with reduced computational complexity
- NOMA might outperforms OMA

Related publications

- A. Abrardo, M. Moretti and F. Saggese, "Power and Subcarrier Allocation in 5G NOMA-FD Systems," in IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, vol. 19, no. 12, pp. 8246-8260, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1109/TWC.2020.3021036.
- A. Abrardo, M. Moretti and **F. Saggese**, "WMMSE resource allocation for FD-NOMA," in IEEE Communications Letters, 2022, doi: 10.1109/LCOMM.2022.3197701.
- F. Saggese, M. Moretti and A. Abrardo, "A Quasi-Optimal Clustering Algorithm for MIMO-NOMA Downlink Systems," in IEEE Wireless Communications Letters, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 152-156, Feb. 2020, doi: 10.1109/LWC.2019.2946548.

RADIO ACCESS NETWORK SLICING



HETEROGENEOUS SERVICES



Ultra Reliable Low-Latency Communication

massive Machine-Type Communication

Spectrum slicing

NETWORK SLICING:

partitioning the physical network infrastructure in different end-to-end isolated virtual networks, i.e. *slices*, each one able to support a different service requirement for different use cases

Spectrum slicing:

how to utilize a given chunk of spectrum to serve users with heterogeneous requirements

HETEROGENEOUS REQUIREMENT: SYSTEM MODEL



EMBB

- allocated on a time slot basis
- ∘ spectral efficiency $\geq \eta_e$ [bps/Hz]
- $\circ~{\rm knowledge}$ of the CSI available

URLLC

- $\circ\,$ allocated on a mini-slot basis
- $\circ~{\rm packets}$ having rate $\eta_u \ll \eta_e~{\rm [bps/Hz]}$
- \circ outage probability $\leq \epsilon_u$
- max latency is M^{\max} mini-slot (wlog)
- knowledge of statistical CSI only (Γ_u)

The BS transmits both eMBB and URLLC data streams using superposition coding:

$$\mathbf{x}(t,f) = \sqrt{P_e(t,f)}\mathbf{s}_e(t,f) + \sqrt{P_u(t,f)}\mathbf{s}_u(t,f)$$

- NOMA rely on SIC
- $\circ~$ but URLLC cannot wait for an entire e~ codeword
- hence, SIC is employed by the eMBB user only



MINIMUM POWER SCHEDULING

$$\min_{\substack{\mathbf{P}_e \succeq 0\\ \mathbf{P}_u \succeq 0}} P^{\text{tot}} \begin{cases} p_e = 0, & (\text{eMBB outage})\\ p_{u,e} = 0, & (\text{SIC failure})\\ p_u \le \epsilon_u, & (\text{URLLC outage}) \end{cases} \Leftarrow$$

- \circ not convex
- URLLC outage has no closed form

STRATEGY

- **1** using the eMBB CSI to find eMBB and SIC power requirements \rightarrow waterfilling \times 2
- 2 find URLLC power through look-up table solution \rightarrow Monte Carlo + BCD (optional)

NUMERICAL RESULTS



$\begin{bmatrix} D_{tot} & 20 \\ 0 & 0 \\ -20 \end{bmatrix}$		200 d _u	<mark>∗***</mark> <u>5886</u>	***** SIC IL 400	
d_e [m]	NOMA	O-3	O-6	O-9	
464.56	33.21	34.18	38.89	58.27	
261.2	23.36	24.32	29.09	48.54	
146.9	14.21	14.44	19.23	38.74	
82.6	5.84	5.84	9.05	28.44	
46.5	-1.87	-1.87	-0.64	18.79	
26.1	-9.67	-9.67	-9.67	8.65	

eMBB power spent [dBm]

SUMMARY

- The problem is complex even in a simple setting, and finding a feasible allocation strategy is difficult
- $\circ~$ We provide a practical solution for the minimum power coefficients
- $\circ~$ NOMA can play a fundamental role in multiplexing heterogeneous users

Related publications

- F. Saggese, M. Moretti and P. Popovski, "NOMA Power Minimization of Downlink Spectrum Slicing for eMBB and URLLC Users," 2022 IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference (WCNC), 2022, pp. 1725-1730
- F. Saggese, M. Moretti and P. Popovski, "Power Minimization of Downlink Spectrum Slicing for eMBB and URLLC Users," in IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, 2022
- F. Saggese, L. Pasqualini, M. Moretti and A. Abrardo, "Deep Reinforcement Learning for URLLC data management on top of scheduled eMBB traffic," 2021 IEEE Global Communications Conference (GLOBECOM), 2021, pp. 1-6

OPEN QUESTIONS?

- Is spectral efficiency a good way of optimizing NOMA systems? [1]
- How much is the overhead for informing the downlink strong user to act accordingly?
- How to use the analytical findings to multiplex multiple users?
- What about the model of the incoming URLLC traffic?
- How to train a DRL agent for multi-carrier reliable transmission?
- $\circ~$ How to tackle the trade-off between eMBB and URLLC requirements? [2]

^[1] Wang et al. "A Minimum Error Probability NOMA Design". 2021.

^[2] Tian et al. "Successive Convex Approximation Based Off-Policy Optimization for Constrained Reinforcement Learning".

Thank you for the attention.

About Me

Fabio Saggese, fasa@es.aau.dk PhD in Information Engineering, University of Pisa Research Assistant, Electronic System Department, Aalborg University